

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,789		07/28/2003	James Jannard	NOCODE2.005C1	5220	
20995	7590	09/22/2006		EXAM	INER	
		ENS OLSON &	DANG, HUNG XUAN			
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, C	CA 9261	4		2873		
				DATE MAILED: 09/22/200	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,789	JANNARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung X. Dang	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	<u>ıne 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims	,					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-29</u> is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 33-36</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	• • • •					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
- Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/628,789 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

1. The amendment filed on 6/30/06 has been entered.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 6/30/06 has been considered.

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Swab et al** (6,769,767) in view of **Vaudrey et al** (6,311,155).

Swab et al discloses eyewear with exchangeable temples housing a transreceiver forming AD hoc networks with other device which comprises a frame 24 for holding the lenses, a pair of temples 19, 20 extending rearward from the frame, first and second speakers 60 and 62 mounted to the first and second temples respectively, so as to be translatable in a forward to rearward direction generally parallel to the temples over a first range of motion, at least one of the size of the speakers and the first range of motion being configured to provide an effective range of coverage of about 1 1/4 inches, an audio file storage and playback device disposed within the first ear stem,

Application/Control Number: 10/628,789

**Art Unit: 2873** 

52 a power storage device disposed in the second temple at least one button 50 disposed on the first temple.

Swab et al does not teach that a compressed audio file storage and play back device disposed in the temple.

Vaudrey et al however, discloses a MP3 play back device in eyeglasses frame (see column 1-20.)

Because Swab et al and Vaudrey et al are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose of listening the music as disclosed by Vaudrey et al would have been recognized as an art pertinent art of Swab et al.

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the eyeglasses device, such as the one disclosed by Swab et al, a MP3 play back device in eyeglasses frame, such as disclosed by Vaudrey et al for the purpose of listemnig the music.

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

4. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swab et al (6,769,767) and Vaudrey et al (6,311,155) as applied to claims 8 and 11-14 above, and further in view of Vogt et al (5,606,743).

Swab et al and Vaudrey et al disclose the claimed invention as stated above with the exception of a volume control.

Vogt et al, however, discloses radio eyewear comprises a volume turning control are included with the receiver compartments 6 and 8 (see column 5, lines 20-22.)

Application/Control Number: 10/628,789

Art Unit: 2873

Because Swab et al, Vaudrey et al and Vogt et al are all from the same field of

Page 4

endeavor, the purpose of controlling the volume of the speaker as disclosed by Vogt et

al would have been recognized as an art pertinent art of Swab et al and Vaudrey et al.

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a

person having skill in the art to construct the eyeglasses device, such as the one

disclosed by Swab et al and Vaudrey et al, with a volume control, such as disclosed by

Vogt et al for the purpose of controlling the volume of the speaker.

Claims Allowance

**5.** Claims 1-7 and 30-36 are allowed.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang

at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

9/06

HUNG DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800